Poster No. 1800

Safety and Efficacy of Emicizumab in Persons with Hemophilia A With or Without FVIII Inhibitors: Pooled Data from Four Phase III Studies (HAVEN 1–4)

<u>Michael U. Callaghan</u>,¹ Claude Négrier,² Ido Paz-Priel,³ Tiffany Chang,³ Sammy Chebon,⁴ Michaela Lehle,⁴ Johnny Mahlangu,⁵ Guy Young,⁶ Rebecca Kruse-Jarres,⁷ Maria Elisa Mancuso,^{8*} Markus Niggli,⁴ Monet Howard,⁹ Nives Selak Bienz,⁴ Midori Shima,¹⁰ Victor Jiménez-Yuste,¹¹ Christophe Schmitt,⁴ Elina Asikanius,^{4†} Gallia Levy,^{3‡} Steven Pipe,¹² and Johannes Oldenburg¹³

¹Children's Hospital of Michigan, Detroit, MI, USA; ² Edouard Herriot University Hospital, Lyon, France; ³Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁴F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland; ⁵University of the Witwatersrand and NHLS, Johannesburg, South Africa; ⁶Children's Hospital Los Angeles, University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ⁷Bloodworks Northwest, Seattle, WA, USA; ⁸Angelo Bianchi Bonomi Haemophilia and Thrombosis Center, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; ⁹F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Mississauga, Canada; ¹⁰Nara Medical University Hospital, Kashihara, Japan; ¹¹Hospital Universitario La Paz, Autonoma University, Madrid, Spain; ¹²University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ¹³University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany.

New affiliations:

*Center for Thrombosis and Hemorrhagic Diseases, Humanitas Clinical and Research Center - IRCCS, Rozzano, Milan, Italy; [†]Finnish Health Authority; [‡]Spark Therapeutics, Inc., Philadelphia, PA, USA

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the study participants and their families, study investigators, coordinators, and site personnel. This study was sponsored by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and Genentech, Inc. Third party medical writing assistance, under the direction of the authors, was provided by Rebecca A. Bachmann, PhD, of Gardiner-Caldwell Communications and was funded by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and Genentech, Inc.

Disclosures*

MUC: received honoraria and consulting fees from Genentech, Inc. and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Takeda, Sanofi, Pfizer, Bayer, Global Blood Therapeutics, Bluebird Bio, UniQure, Spark Therapeutics, Inc., BioMarin, Kedrion, Grifols, HEMA Biologics; speakers' bureau fees from Genentech, Inc. and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Takeda, Bayer, Global Blood Therapeutics, BioMarin, Novo Nordisk; travel expenses from Genentech, Inc. and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Takeda, Sanofi, Pfizer, Bayer, Global Blood Therapeutics, UniQure, Spark Therapeutics, Inc., BioMarin, Kedrion, HEMA Biologics; expert testimony fees from Genentech, Inc. and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd; research funding from Pfizer and stock ownership in Alnylam.

*See full manuscript for all authors' disclosures.

Key takeaways

- · In long-term follow-up, emicizumab maintained low bleed rates in persons with hemophilia A
- Emicizumab remained well tolerated, with no new safety concerns

Accepted as a Poster Presentation at the 62nd ASH Annual Meeting and Exposition

Data from HAVEN 1–4 Phase III studies were pooled to establish

the long term efficacy and safety of emicizumab in hemophilia A

Background

 Emicizumab is a subcutaneously administered, bispecific, humanized, monoclonal antibody that promotes effective hemostasis in PwHA^{1,2}

Methods

 The HAVEN 1–4 studies were designed to assess the efficacy and safety of emicizumab prophylaxis in PwHA; this analysis pools data from:^{3–6}



- Efficacy endpoints included ABRs and percentages of participants with zero and 1–3 treated bleeds
- Safety endpoints included incidence of AEs and AEs of special interest

AE, adverse event; ABR, annualized bleed rate; FVIII, factor VIII; PwHA, persons with hemophilia A; QW, once weekly; Q2W, once every 2 weeks; Q4W, once every 4 weeks.

Demographics, baseline characteristics and emicizumab exposure in the pooled population (data cut-off May 15, 2020)

2.	Analysis populations	2	Total population (N=401)	2.	Total population (N=401)
Participants	401	Median (IQR) duration of exposure, weeks	120.4 (89.0–164.4)	Previous treatment regimen, n (%) [↑] Episodic	192 (48.0)
Participants		Total participant years of emicizumab exposure	970.3	Prophylactic	208 (52.0)
in ITT group (efficacy population),	400	Age Median, years (range) <18 years, n (%)	28.0 (1–77) 132 (32.9)	Yes No	209 (52.1) 192 (47.9)
n^		≥65 years, n (%)	13 (3.2)	Previously undergone immune tolerance induction therapy, n (%)	127 (31.7)
Participants treated with emicizumab	399	Race, n (%) White Asian	267 (66.6) 76 (19.0)	Median no. of bleeds in 24 weeks prior to study entry (IQR)	8.0 (5.0–15.0)
(satety population), n*		Black/African American Other or unknown	32 (8.0) 26 (6.5)	Presence of target joints ^{‡1} at baseline, n (%)	244 (61.0)

*One participant in HAVEN 3 assigned to 'no prophylaxis' was lost to follow-up prior to the switch to emicizumab and was therefore not treated; hence excluded from the efficacy and safety analyses. Another participant in HAVEN 1 assigned to an active arm, discontinued prior to first emicizumab treatment and was excluded from the safety analyses. The demographics table is based on those who enrolled (N=401). Participants included in the efficacy analysis only were N=400; participants included in the safety analyses only were N=399; [†]For ITT population. [‡]In line with International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis definition,¹ target joints were defined as major joints (e.g., hip, elbow, wrist, shoulder, knee, and ankle) in which ≥3 spontaneous bleeding events occurred over a 24-week treatment period. FVIII, factor VIII; IQR, interquartile range; ITT, intent-to-treat.

1. Blanchette VS, et al. J Thromb Haemost. 2014;12:1935-9.

The pooled mean ABR decreased over the first year and remained below 1 in the following 24-week treatment intervals



 Slightly higher ABRs in HAVEN 4 may be attributable to an outlier with 18 bleeds and the relatively small number of other PwHA¹

*This result was calculated using a negative-binomial regression model. ABR, annualized bleed rates; CI, confidence interval; NE, not estimated.

The percentage of participants with zero treated bleeds increased over the first year and remained above 80% thereafter



After week 24, at least 97% of participants had ≤3 bleeds in each treatment interval



At clinical cut off, >95% of target joints in evaluable PwHA were resolved* with emicizumab prophylaxis

Among 226 evaluable PwHA who had at least one target joint at baseline and received at least 52 weeks of emicizumab prophylaxis:

95.1% (504/530) target joints resolved*

89.4% (202/226) PwHA had zero target joint bleeds

*Target joint resolution: ≤2 spontaneous or traumatic bleeding events in a 12-month period¹



During 970 patient-years of exposure, emicizumab had a favorable long-term safety profile with no new or unexpected signals

3		\bigcirc	
All AEs	Total population (N=399)	AEs of special interest	To popul (N=3
At least one AE, n (%)	381 (95.5%)	Systemic hypersensitivity/anaphylactic reaction§	1 (0.
Local injection-site reaction, n (%)	111 (27.8%)	All thromboembolic events	4 (1.0
Treatment-related AE, n (%)	139 (34.8%)*	Associated with concomitant aPCC use	2 (0.
Grade ≥3 AE [†] , n (%)	87 (21.8%)	Device occlusion of a peripherally inserted central catheter	1 (0.3
AE leading to withdrawal from treatment, n (%)	5 (1.3%)	Myocardial infarction	1 (0.3
Serious AE, n (%)	93 (23.3%)	All thrombotic microangiopathy events	3 (0.
AE with fatal outcome, n (%)	1 (0.3%) ^{‡1}	Associated with concomitant aPCC use	3 (0

- No participants discontinued due to AEs beyond the 5 previously described in the primary analyses¹⁻⁴
- The device occlusion and MI events^{¶¥} were assessed by the Investigators as unrelated to emicizumab, both resolved, and each individual continued emicizumab

*One participant in HAVEN 4 was missing data on AE relationship to treatment. [†]Adverse events were graded according to the World Health Organization toxicity grading scale. [‡]Death of one participant in HAVEN 1 was caused by rectal hemorrhage.⁵ \$Assessed using Sampson criteria and includes all participants who experienced indicative symptoms. One participant was identified through algorithmic analysis as potentially having a systemic hypersensitivity/anaphylactic/anaphylactoid reaction (he had experienced symptoms of abdominal pain and cough); however, medical review of the case showed that Sampson criteria were not met. ¹The person with the non-serious device occlusion had a history of device-related thrombosis before receiving emicizumab. [¥]The person with MI was >65 years, had previously undiagnosed coronary artery disease, was treated for the event, and recovered with reduced heart function. [€]No new cases of thrombotic microangiopathy have been reported since the primary study analyses. aPCC, activated prothrombin complex concentrate; AE, adverse event; MI, myocardial infarction.

Oldenburg J, et al. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:809–18;
Young G, et al. Blood. 2019;134:2127–38;
Mahlangu J, et al. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:811–22;
Pipe SW, et al. Lancet Haematol. 2019;6:e295–e305;
Khoo L, et al. Haemophilia. 2020; DOI: 10.1111/hae.14110.

Conclusions



With nearly 3 years of follow-up, emicizumab maintained low bleed rates in PwHA of all ages, with/without FVIII inhibitors



ABRs decreased over time and the proportion of participants with zero treated bleeds increased; almost all target joints resolved



Emicizumab remains well tolerated over long-term follow-up, and no new safety concerns were identified in this analysis



Long-term safety and efficacy data for emicizumab are consistent with the findings of the primary analyses and indicate continued reductions in bleeding with long-term treatment

Please see full publication in *Blood* for further details



Download this presentation: https://bit.ly/21jdQxh

